

I ^{filler to newspapers}
(about 520 words)

Financiers don't have to be told that stability of government is a prerequisite, - look at our dollar our stockmarket. Division or separation is equally tragic for ^{loyal} Canadians, ~~and ordinary Westerners~~.

The persecution of Western Canada is not new. My father was a lawyer as well as a wheat farmer but I remember well in the depression seeing the Bennett luggies, cars ^{without gas} ~~driven~~ drawn by horses, because out west the distance to town was so great, the killing of the calves and the giving them to the neighbour to eat because the freight rates were so high, and all too well the fact, when ~~peaceful~~ unemployed Westerners tried a peaceful march to Ottawa to ask for aid, they were fired on with guns

at Regina. Easterners should remember too. Those actions resulted in the creation of a still shonky

~~Now it would seem that because by political parties the NDP & social credit. Not all vote Western Canada rejected the arrogant~~ seems because the west has by vote rejected ~~the arrogant~~ ^{the arrogant} ~~judgment~~, he will punish loyal Western Canadians ^{to punish the west} again. & he doesn't mind

making Eastern Canadians pay ^{at least as taxpayers} for almost useless Mexican oil, ^{or the high priced oil we are buying} or taking from the taxpayers pocket 40 million dollars

^{revealed} delay to taxpayers ^{of the future by already} in escalating costs
inflation, ^{and} food prices, + unemployment.
^{as we have California steel plants close}
ment. But he has succeeded in ^{seals} pushing
ing the West who ~~give him no sales~~.

[But now the last straw for many
Westerners has come. By using closure
& ~~tricks to win~~ + by political maneuvers,
he is trying to foist onto us a totally
unacceptable, in fact unneeded constitution
of his own design. Maybe Easterners
are ready to accept a change of their
laws ~~for~~ by which they may be given
every right, but the most vital one, the
one all democratic constitutions include,
the right for either an individual or
their provincial government, to own
property. Read your constitution & you'll
look in vain for that vital right to own property.
Take it to your lawyer & discover what your law

If you think that, coupled with clause
24, which eliminates our once great court
common law protection of property
rights, ^{that says} ~~by saying it is inconsistent~~ it
~~with the charter is inoperative~~ any law
that ~~doesn't~~ agree with the non-
property right charter's laws, are
inoperative you will realize how

offensive this charter is to most persons who believe in a ^{legal base right} free to own property & to alter your rights by votes democracy. That coupled with the new, so called equalization ~~clauses~~ powers, that are planned to be given exclusively to parliament and that justify removal of any real or personal possessions on ~~only an~~ ~~equalization principle established~~ not ~~by~~ ^{by} set legal reasons, but on Parliaments exclusive ^{political} opinion gives Canada a totally different undemocratic set of laws ~~and~~ constitution I find difficult to accept, ~~Who do not believe in a centralized dictatorial constitution that denies property rights~~

When furthermore a clause is put in it, which states that a western province will not be able to alter ~~their~~ ^{their} constitution anyway for at least 300 years, ^{even that alone makes it} ~~it becomes~~ unacceptable to many other Westerners who already are facing an economic disaster that cannot help but reflect all across Canada. It is ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~fact~~ ^{fact} is facing the possibility of having to slip into hoping there will be a separatist ~~movement~~ ^{movement} to preserve the democratic, fledgling Canada in a more democratic ~~western~~ ^{western} Canada just like western provinces. ~~just as there is a West Germany.~~

If that fails then maybe like my ancestors, I will have to immigrate

^{b/}
~~may~~ as my ancestors did ^{before me. once more} again
to a more democratic country

Ruth Gorman