

I am a concerned Canadian one who is losing
hope for Canada's future. Why a constitution?
Was it only to give Federal votes from Quebec by sneaking

Dear Mr Childs in section 16 making all of Canada
+ sure votes in Alberta by new donors
May I as a westerner thank you for
your courage in speaking out on the
disastrous aspects of the present course
and the national government is relentlessly
pursuing.

My ancestors were U.C. loyalists + my
father as a young lawyer was brought
from Ontario by R.B. Bennett + I was born
in Calgary + for 17 years have lived here
I've spent a lifetime mostly as a volunteer
because I could afford that luxury of
independence. I've been responsible
for taking the cases + speaking at Ottawa
to clear the opportunity for the Indians
to get the vote. Again I have fought
right for crippled person + was able
to force the first public education in
Alberta for crippled children. I served
as a chairman for the civil liberties
section of the Canadian Bar + as an
advisory federally on Canada's first study
on the Universal Charter of Rights. In my
lifetime I have had to concern myself
with the tragedy of persons who lack
basic rights + so they have become truly
valued in my mind.

I was never until lately a western
separatist. I accepted that as pioneers
in a sparsely occupied country one would
in a democracy of necessity receive the
short end of the stick while being compen-
sated by the sheer joy of living in a
pioneer area with all its opportunities
& comparative individual freedom of more
area + restrictions.

It was with great shock I read the
const. proposed constitution. In nearly
every section I found powers of both
law were being taken from the courts in the
economic control from the provinces
& individual rights from the individual
by a powerful centralized state

A constitution the Western provinces
were being given no chance to vote on in acceptance, or in the future
to amending. I feel I have been
disfranchised - something I would not
choose to live under. A democracy has
been my one aim in life. I accepted the
limitations under our past system

but I can not accept a continuing future for my grandchildren of such loss of rights

I began by writing letters to the paper pointing out what was happening to the average ~~man~~ citizen. At first I could not bare to point out how serious this

constitution was. I regard its sections as positively inflammatory & I am aware there is nothing sadder than a small country (in world affairs & power) than for it to be divided within itself.) But eventually

I felt it dishonest. You realize the individuals even basic property rights that once lay in Diefenbaker's Charter has now been dropped. Qualification to

vague & unlimited in the hands of a central government where the west vote can never be effective as proven by the last election, as I regard from an

western viewpoint as that assumed & could be used all too easily by an unprejudiced totalitarian type of political belief. Unless some equality

Most serious are the many omissions of
past rights & most serious of all is
that in our legal rights. ~~per.~~ an
individual, a coops, a provinces right to
own property is now completely omitted

In Deffenbacher its said

Also in United nations

most ^{major} vital right we have.

^{interrupt} Herald letter writer

11.

I hate to ~~break~~ ^{interrupt} into R. Roberson
of Okolob's laughter - its so rare
in these troubled days. but I'm
afraid I must ask him to ~~stop~~ ^{just stop}
for a second, ~~as~~ ^{long enough} ~~to listen to the~~ ^{to listen to} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~news~~ ^{fact}
He was ~~laughing~~ ^{laughing himself will} ~~because~~ ^{laugh}
~~was so delighted~~ Fuddeau was
going to fix the ~~foreign~~ ^{etc} oil companies
& Canadians were going to own
their own resources.

I wonder which way he prefers it
to have Fuddeau ^{new taken} ~~just~~ take the tax
dollars ^{out of his pocket} ~~to~~ ^{pay} Imperial Oil
40 million dollars to go back & do
the job they were doing without the loan
~~pay before~~ ^{before} Fuddeau interfered? Or
is he rolling in the aisles at the
prospect of this winter ^{having to} ~~paying~~ at
the gas pumps for gas that costs
~~twice~~ ^{double} as high ^{what} ~~than~~ ^{produced} the Alberta ^{products} oil
in Canada sir) gas did? ^{but maybe he wants to} ~~stop~~ ^{keep the}
Sheiks of Arabia happy while Albertans pay pay.
~~While~~ ^{and} ~~But maybe~~ ^{they will laugh} if he can ~~stop~~
laughing he might take a peak at

his new constitution' that ^{factually he can reach it} doesn't ^{even bother to} give a single right to ^{an individual or a firm} own property. ^{democratically} No doubt ^{but} although it gives him lots of others. ^{very carefully designed rights} There is no other constitution in the world including the United Nations charter that deliberately omits that ~~in countries like Russia they~~ ~~cont~~ and he might consider what ~~is going to be like for legal Canada~~ ~~Russia might but they didn't~~

And if he's still ~~long~~ got a quibble left in his stock body he might try then looking at the discretionary powers in section 30 + 32 - ^{the} so called "equalization" ^{section} by which the federal govt can take ^{without any} government resource funds. No proper bill & democratic constitution can ever have a discretionary clause. It just ^{really} undoes all the rights & ^{very more} even eliminates ^{to protect rights} the power of the courts - If it's at the government's discretion that it for ~~please~~ all time.

If he's still laughing ^{Mr Robison may become quite} he's about the only ^{unhappy} person in Canada that ^{he might be} is, except of course the bureaucrats that are going to manage this new Canadian dictatorship. They are the happy souls.

I found the personal attack
on Carl Neble by D R Dean revealed
amazing. Never have I ^{before} witnessed
^{no other reason was given than}
~~the~~ such intolerance. Simply because ~~he~~

Neble has a different political
opinion than Deans and ~~the~~ Dean ^{for reason only}
suggested they ^{for that reason} ~~should~~ ^{take away} ~~his~~ ^{Neble's}

citizenship. Neble's family lived in
Calgary all through the depression. His
talented mother played without salary
in the symphony for others enjoyment
Their family dwelt in a very small
basement suite because all their
money + their friends was going
into the search for oil. That very
^{who else} ^{shared} ^{the} ^{oil} ^{today makes}
oil who ~~makes~~ Deane's good life
possible. When ^{the Nebles} they found oil, Carl

took a real cut in salary to serve
his city as a member of parliament

with ^{his family} ~~his~~ increased ^{oil wealth} wealth which
improved all Calgary ~~standards~~
was always shared. ^{lifted} all of

Calgary's standard he ^{family} gave away
of living they gave away an immense
^{share of it} ~~share~~ to create a free for every citizen
beautiful museum in Calgary

Yet now because his political

Opinion happens to differ with
this person ~~who~~ they calmly suggest ^{we}
^{should} just take away his citizenship
maybe this is the kind of ^{Canadian} ~~that~~ ^{that} ~~envisioned~~ ^{by}
~~that goes with~~ those who ~~are~~ ^{in that}
supporting ^{our new} a constitution, ^{in that} ~~which~~
~~in so~~ ^{has} ^{it} ^{does not} ^{assure}
an individual ^{no} ^{dependence} ^{on} ^{rights} ^{by} ^{section}
citizenship ^{but} ^{rights} ^{to} ^{freedom} ^{are}
& freedoms ^{as} - Only to such
^{that} ^{that} ^{with} -
reasonable limits ~~limits as are~~ ^{are}
accepted" by ~~the~~ ^{the} parliament & I
presume that ^{means} the political party ^{who} ^{happen to}
power - no fixed rights ⁱⁿ ^{the} ^{parliament}
acceptable. And of course ^{who} ^{these} ^{so called}
~~the~~ ^{the} ^{new} Canadians are also endorsing a
constitution that nowhere give the
individual a right to ^{every} ^{own} ^{property}
but then of course the constitution
only came ^{into being} ^{really} so a right could be
perpetrated of property rights.
Legally the revenue ^{under the BNA act} ^{from} ^{our} ^{resources}
are ^{or} ^{where} ^{Alberta} ^{who} ^{developed} ^{them} ^{when}
now ^{they} ^{are} ^{developed} ^{that} ^{property}
right is to be ^{just} ^{taken} ^{by} ^{the} ^{parliament} ^{of}
Canada
So possibly we should not be so
amazed ^{that} ^{kind of} ^a ^{constitution} ^{also}
~~one~~ ^{one} ^{lose} ^{their} ^{Canadian}
citizenship if they ^{don't} ^{agree}
^{than} ^{with} ^{them}. It's not the Canada I
brought & loved nor can I believe in
especially when you ~~can~~ ^{see} ^{how}
without a single ^{single} ^{factor} ^{reason} except
a ^{few} ^{poor} ^{people} they can attack a longtime
good citizen like Carl Meek & ~~even~~
~~try to~~ ^{even} ^{try to} ^{threaten} ^{him} ^{with}
the loss of his citizenship. This is
dictatorial not Canadian.